

~~8A223~~ 13-1450 on 8/7/05. By

**Printed: Carmen Pili Ekstrom**

**Application of:**

### AFTER FINAL (Expedited Processing)

**Group Art Unit: 2831**

**Examiner: William Mayo II**

**Docket No. MX/JFServ-001**

**Assistant Commissioner for Patents**  
**Washington D.C. 20231**

In response to the Office Action dated May 19, 2005, Applicants request for reconsideration in the above identified application.

The Examiner deemed that the restriction requirement was deemed proper and made FINAL. Applicants intend to file a Petition regarding the restriction requirement before filing a Notice of Appeal or before allowance of the patent application. Therefore, Applicants did not cancel the claims withdrawn from consideration.

Applicants continue to argue that Group I requires the combination with Group II as discussed in the Applicants' specification. It is submitted that these groups are related as combination/subcombination. The requisite distinctness to support the restriction requirement has not been shown because the combination as claimed requires the